Abstract

Data-intensive science will open up new avenues to explore, new questions to ask, and new ways to answer. Yet, this potential cannot be unlocked without new emphasis on education of the researchers gathering data, the analysts analyzing data and the cross-disciplinary participants working together to make it happen. This article is a summary of the education issues and challenges of data-intensive sciences and cloud computing as discussed in the Data-Intensive Science (DIS) workshop in Seattle, September 19–20, 2010.

Introduction

The education working group (WG) of the Data-Intensive Science Workshop came together to identify issues and needs within the data-intensive sciences with regard to education. Data-intensive science is a new science. As a result, many scientists and lay persons alike do not have an adequate understanding of its application and potential. As data-intensive approaches and methodologies enable scientific discovery in the beginning of the 21st century, it is imperative that all scientists and lay persons be fully aware of the implications of these scientific discoveries and methodologies.

Current State

To explore the factors influencing the current state, this WG created a table with dimensions: (1) different populations of learners based on their area of expertise (rows) and, (2) different functions pertinent to Data-Intensive Science (DIS) (columns). The information in Table 1, although not all-inclusive, allowed the WG to think about the status quo and discuss education in relation to DIS.

The WG generally agreed that the greatest need is further education about cyberinfrastructure (CI) as an entity that must be developed and a field with many facets.

Barriers

CI consists of many components that do not have well-defined relationships that could be used to structure the overall subject. Indeed, each component of CI (data acquisition, management, storage, mining, and visualization to name a few) has been developed independently and patched together. Education is needed to further the development of a cohesive CI and to enable its use.

CI can be looked at as an elephant. Each component can be identified independently but the sum of them all creates a functional elephant (Fig. 1).

In this example, education would be most effective by first looking at the entire elephant and its overall function and then delving into each of the components. Education would consist of teaching the use, operation, or development of CI. Education in all three aspects requires an understanding of the complete animal to varying degrees of detail (Figs. 2 and 3).

To build this infrastructure, the groups listed in Table 1 must educate each other. Scientists must discuss the needs of their fields to receive full benefit from a cohesive CI. Lay persons must be consulted so that the public, which primarily funds these endeavors, is involved and fully enabled. Certainly, developers of both hardware and software must be educated as to the needs of scientists and lay persons. Further, developers must educate those groups to develop an understanding of currently feasible technology and the strengths and limitations of different approaches.

Future State

We need to teach people how to use CI with a new system in which all the components work in a coordinated fashion...
with security, reliability, and good performance. A nation-
wide integrated system with a common user interface (UI)
structure would expedite learning of new applications
through familiarity. This future system must: (1) allow access
generally regardless of geographic location; (2) be flexible to allow
innovation, change, and growth as needed; (3) foster inte-
gration and collaboration across agencies, offices, and re-
sources; and (4) encompass software as well as user/
developer communities.

The future state CI will provide a number of significant
benefits: (1) Scientists will be able to both educate and be educated
about available high performance computing resources.
(2) Lay persons will be able to use educational portals to
learn about and use resources in a secure and under-
standable environment. (3) Bioinformaticians will be able to develop
standardized tools and make them widely accessible, adding sig-
nificant to the rate of scientific progress. (4) Software and hardware engineers will be able to develop standar-
dized system that is easy to understand and manipulate.

Fig. 1. A functioning CI elephant.

Fig. 2. We have parts but what parts?
Archivists and curators will be able to easily access data sets and mine data sources.

Mathematicians and statisticians will be able to capitalize on increased computer power and larger data sets.

**Representation and Engagement with Society**

The changes needed for a full realization of DIS impact many sciences. Many different, ongoing organizations tackling these problems could have a bigger impact if they worked together. Thus, a consortium is needed to realize this vision. As research disciplines truly start to depend on each other, they need to learn to share data and skills while working cooperatively, regardless of organization membership or geographic locations. National Science Foundation (NSF) and other funding agencies can recognize the need for cross-disciplinary education and provide leadership and funding to implement the vision.

**Conclusion**

Change, growth, progress, and innovation are all integral to the scientific endeavor. Without them, the “Why?” and “How?” so often asked would not be answered. To take full advantage of the opportunities change can bring, the groups implementing the change must educate themselves on how to best effect the change and how to best enable the use of the change. A change made due to ignorance can easily lead to wasted time and money. We do not have either to waste.
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